1. What type of source is it? Examples include book, journal article, legislation, judicial decision, report, government document, etc.

Journal article

2. Enter a citation for the source, preferably in Bluebook format. At a minimum, include title, author, and publication date. If it is available online, copy and paste its link in the box below as well.


Draft version available at: https://dash.harvard.edu/handle/1/23519868

3. How did you find it? If you used a search engine or database (including Hollis, Google Scholar, journal indexes), which one, and what were your search terms?

DASH (Digital Access to Scholarship at Harvard). Search term: „Interlocks“. 

4. Sum up the author’s research problem in 1-2 sentences. You can quote directly from the source (use quotation marks if you do), or use your own words.

Should the EU adopt restrictions to interlocking directorates, which occur when the same person sits on the board of two firms? Should a restriction only cover so-called horizontal interlocks or also non-horizontal ones?
5. Sum up the author’s argument in 1-2 sentences. You can quote directly from the source (use quotation marks if you do), or use your own words.

The author argues that interlocks raise antitrust concerns. Namely, horizontal interlocks (i.e. interlocks between competing firms) can encourage collusion and parallel behavior between the firms involved, to the detriment of competition and consumers. The author also argues that horizontal interlocks can have more subtle effects, so-called non-coordinated effects. However, the author argues that non-horizontal interlocks (i.e. interlocks between firms that do not compete on the same market) are not as likely as horizontal ones to be harmful to competition.

6. Sum up the author’s conclusion in 1-2 sentences. You can quote directly from the source (use quotation marks if you do), or use your own words.

The author recommends that the EU should prohibit horizontal interlocks per se. He does not consider it necessary to prohibit non-horizontal interlocks, but instead proposes that the EU should monitor them to a certain extent.

7. Sum up the author’s recommendations for further research or action in 1-2 sentences. You can quote directly from the source (use quotation marks if you do), or use your own words.

The author provides advice on how the EU should deal with certain problems that could arise when adopting interlock restrictions, for instance how the term “interlock” should be defined. He also mentions the need for more empirical evidence on the potential correlation between horizontal interlocks and collusion.

8. How does this source relate to your topic? Can you use it to support your analysis/argument, and if so, how? Or does it require you to rethink your assumptions? Or something else?

N/A

9. If you could ask the author any question after reading this article, what would it be?

N/A
7. List one or more sources cited in this source that you want to read now. (Look in the footnotes or endnotes.)

N/A

8. List one or more sources that cite this source that you want to read now. (Look up citing references in Google Scholar, Westlaw, HeinOnline, etc.)

N/A

9. Did you learn any new vocabulary words or concepts while you were reading this source that relate to your topic?

N/A